

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/764,560	GLENN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

(3) _____.

 (2) Linda Sivik.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 on record

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed counsel that instant claims were searched as applicable to hair and skin care compositions and accordingly limiting the instant claim 1 to hair and skin care agents would render claim 1 and the dependent claims allowable. Counsel agreed and authorized examiner to delete fabric, textile, laundry care actives and other non-skin and non-hair active agents in claim 1 by examiner's amendment.